



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/586,594	01/16/1996	JEFFREY M. FRIEDMAN	600-1-162	3635
7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID A JACKSON			O'HARA, EILEEN B	
KLAUBER & JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/586,594	FRIEDMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eileen O'Hara	1646			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			<i>-</i>			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	arch 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims		÷.			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,9,63,66 and 68-70</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5,7,9 and 68-70</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>63 and 66</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•	٠			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 1996</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* ^	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.			
A44b	v-1					
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) []	(PTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
		o) 🗀 Omer:	, A.			

Application/Control Number: 08/586,594

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 5, 7, 9, 63, 66 and 68-70 are pending in the instant application. Claims 9 and 68 have been amended, claims 3, 4 and 8 have been canceled and claims 69 and 70 have been added as requested by Applicant in the Paper filed March 17, 2005.

All claims are currently under examination.

Withdrawn Objections and Rejections

2. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 9 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 9 and 68, as written, do not sufficiently distinguish over leptin receptors as they exist naturally because the claims do not particularly point out any non-naturally occurring differences between the claimed products and the naturally occurring products. In the absence of the hand of man, the naturally occurring products are considered non-statutory subject matter. See *Diamond v. Chakrabarty*, 447 U.S. 303, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). Claim 9 should be amended to indicate the hand of the inventor, e.g., by insertion of "isolated" or "purified". See MPEP 2105.

Application/Control Number: 08/586,594

Art Unit: 1646

Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 5, 7 and 68 remain rejected and new claims 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record in the previous office actions, paper mailed Jan. 15, 2004, at pages 7-9, paper mailed Dec. 15, 2004 at pages 4-5, and below.

Applicants traverse the rejection on pages 6-7 of the response, and assert that the specification provides an adequate written description of the claimed polypeptide sequences. Applicants have canceled certain claims, and therefore the rejection over these claims is moot. Applicants also assert that the claims, including as amended and presented above, are supported by an adequate written description and include, in each case, a sufficiently precise definition by structure, formula, chemical name or physical property.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive.

The claims encompass allelic variants of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 10, and no allelic variants have been disclosed. An adequate written description of a DNA (or encoded polypeptide), "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. Fiers

Application/Control Number: 08/586,594

Art Unit: 1646

v. Revel, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606."

Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

It is believed that all pertinent arguments have been answered.

Conclusion

- Claims 63 and 66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5.2 Claims 5, 7, 9 and 68-70 are rejected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1646

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached at (571) 272-0829.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free). Clear B.O Ware

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner